TRINITY COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
STAFF REPORT

APPLICANT: Scott Higgins

REPORT BY: Scott Watkins

OWNER:

APN: 025-220-14 (18.6 acres)

Opt-Out: None

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

Variance from required 350 foot cannabis cultivation setback from two (2) neighboring residences.

LOCATION: 141 Buckhorn Station Loop, Lewiston, CA (Figure 1)

PROJECT INFORMATION:

A) Planning Area: Lewiston

B) Existing General Plan Designation: Resource

C) Existing Zoning: Unclassified

D) Existing Land Use: residence, commercial cannabis cultivation

E) Adjacent Land Use Information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>Zoning</th>
<th>General Plan Des.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North: Timber Production</td>
<td>Timber Production Zone</td>
<td>Resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South: Residential</td>
<td>Unclassified</td>
<td>Resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East: Residential</td>
<td>Unclassified</td>
<td>Resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West: Timber Production</td>
<td>Timber Production Zone</td>
<td>Resource</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

The ordinance for “Commercial Marijuana Cultivation Regulation” includes a provision reading in part: “Cultivation will not be allowed within 350 feet of a residential structure on any adjoining parcels. Applications for a variance from this provision will be considered by the Trinity County Planning Commission.” (Ord. 315-823)

The Cannabis Cultivation Ordinance defines the term “variance” as: “Variance” is defined as Trinity County Ordinance 315 section 31.” During its November 17, 2016 meeting the Commission spent time discussing both the state and county requirements for issuing a variance.

Each zoning classification and land use has an associated set of development standards, which are specified in the Trinity County Zoning Ordinance. Both State law and the zoning ordinance provide criteria to use in evaluating a variance application. Section 65906 of the California Government Code reads as follows:

"VARIANCES from the terms of the zoning ordinance shall be granted only when, because of special circumstances applicable to the property, including size, shape, topography, location or surroundings, the strict application of the zoning ordinance deprives such property of privileges enjoyed by other properties in the vicinity and under identical zoning classification.

Any variance granted shall be subject to such conditions as will assure that the adjustment thereby authorized shall not constitute a grant of special privileges inconsistent with the limitations upon other properties in the vicinity and zone in which such property is located.

A variance shall not be granted for a parcel of property which authorizes a use or activity which is not otherwise expressly authorized by the zone regulation governing the parcel of property. The provisions of this section shall not apply to conditional use permits."

Section 31.A. of the zoning ordinance further elaborates on the State’s Government Code standards by establishing the following criteria:

In considering a variance request, the following guidelines shall be observed:

1. No special privilege. A variance cannot be a special privilege extended to one individual property owner. The circumstances must be such that the same variance would be appropriate for any property owner facing similar circumstances.

2. Use variance prohibited. The consideration of "use variance" is specifically prohibited. These are variances, which request approval to locate a use in a zone from which it is prohibited by Ordinance.
3. Disservice not permitted. A variance must not be injurious to the public welfare, nor to adjacent properties.

4. Not adverse to a General or Specific Plan. A variance must be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Ordinance and cannot adversely affect the General Plan or Specific Plans of the County.

Annual Renewal:

As discussed during previous Commission meetings, variances from the cannabis cultivation setback (350 feet) are issued for a period of one year. (This should be tied to the license effective dates.) The renewal is predicted to be fairly simple and will be performed by the Planning Director or his/her designee. Some factors that would be included in the review would be any complaints received during the previous year, ensuring that the grower is in good standing with the County and State licensing requirements, and that there are no other changes to the property that could affect the continuation of the variance.

PROJECT EVALUATION:

The applicant is beginning the process of obtaining a commercial Cannabis license under the county licensing program. The parcel is located on Buckhorn Station Loop, a county-maintained road. Buckhorn Station Loop road runs parallel to CA-299. The site plan prepared by staff (Figure 2) provides aerial views of the project. Figure 3 illustrates on-site development and its relationship to the nearby impacted residence.

The subject property, 141 Buckhorn Station Loop, is 18.6 acres but confined by steep slopes to the north, along with a Public Utilities Easement and Highway 299 to the south. The current designated cultivation area is well screened from the public right-of-way on both Highway 299 and Buckhorn Station Loop. Based on a satellite review, the site could be relocated to two alternative locations on the property but after a site visit, staff identified physical barriers with each alternative location.

The first alternative location is adjacent to the current location along Highway 299. This location consists of an area that is relatively open in terrain. Unfortunately, the available land that would be suited for Cannabis cultivation is potentially in the public view from Highway 299, and definitely visible from Buckhorn Station Loop.

The second alternative location is north west of the current Cannabis Cultivation site on the side of the hill, up a steep slope in a wooded area. A naturally clear area exists north of the existing public utilities easement but will need a road cut into the hill creating additional grading and environmental impact due to site topography.

Jeff Dickey, Code Compliance Specialist, has reviewed this project and provided the following comments: "Parcel would not be consistent with relocating cultivation area due to terrain and DG soils in this area which could result in erosion issues."
As of this writing, no other comments have been received.

ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION:

This variance request is exempt from CEQA review under Section 15305(a) [minor alteration of land use limitations].

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:
Staff recommends the following:

Approval of the variance to allow reduction of the Cannabis cultivation setback;
- from 350 feet to 338 feet from the residence on APN 025-220-14,
- from 350 feet to 244 feet from the residence on APN 025-220-11,

subject to the following conditions of approval and based on the following findings of fact:

Findings of Fact for the Variance

1. There are special circumstances applicable to the property that, with strict application of the zoning ordinance, deprives it of privileges available to other properties with similar zoning in the vicinity that plan to establish Type II, Cannabis cultivation, up to 10,000 square feet of canopy.

2. The variance is not a grant of special privilege to the applicant because relocation would result in unnecessary grading and environmental damage due to site topography.

3. The granting of the variance is in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Ordinance provisions for commercial cannabis cultivation.

4. No opposition from surrounding property owners or review agencies was submitted that would adversely affect approval of the variance.
CONCLUSIONS OF APPROVAL
HIGGINS CANNABIS SETBACK VARIANCE (CCV-18-019)

1. The variance is approved for a period of one year from April 1, 2018 through March 31, 2019; provided, however, that the variance may be renewed annually.

   a. Application for renewal shall be made by the applicant prior to expiration of the variance, preferably at least 30 days in advance;

   b. Shall not require a formal public hearing, unless specified by the Planning Director or referred to the Planning Commission; however, written notice shall be provided by the County to surrounding property owners at least ten (10) days prior to the Planning Director’s decision to approve or deny the annual renewal; and

   c. Shall be subject to a filing fee as specified by resolution of the Board of Supervisors.

   d. The Planning Director, at his/her discretion, may approve, deny or refer the annual renewal request to the Planning Commission. The director shall not add or modify conditions of approval applied by the Planning Commission. If submitted to the Planning Commission by the Planning Director for action, no additional fees will be required.

   e. Action to renew the variance by the Planning Director may be appealed to the Planning Commission in accordance with Section 34 of the Zoning Ordinance, including the required appeal fee.

2. The variance shall be subject to the securing of all necessary permits, licenses, and approvals for the proposed cannabis cultivation operation from all County and State agencies having jurisdiction over any aspect the operation.

3. Structures on the property shall be in compliance with the California Building Code and the Trinity County Code.

4. The variance shall become effective after all applicable appeal periods have been expired or appeal processes have been exhausted. The applicant has the sole responsibility for renewing this variance before the expiration date listed above. The County will not provide a notice prior to the expiration date.
Figure 4 – Site Pictures

Picture 1: facing west towards Buckhorn Station Loop, upper garden

Picture 2: facing east towards designated cultivation area entrance, upper garden
Picture 3: facing west towards Buckhorn Station Loop, lower garden

Picture 2: facing south towards designated cultivation area, natural tree screening Buckhorn Station Loop public right-of-way