

**Trinity County Community Corrections Partnership  
Executive Committee Meeting  
January 30, 2013 Minutes**

10:00a.m.

Trinity County Probation Department  
333 Tom Bell Rd  
Weaverville, CA 96093

Call to order: 10:00a.m.

In attendance – Terry Lee, Hal Ridlehuber, Mike Harper, Linda Wright, Bruce Haney, Ken Langston, Liz Johnson, Wendy Tyler, Noel O’Neill, Anne Lagorio, Mark Loveless, Judy Pflueger

**Opening Comments**

- Liz will be voting and participate as an active member of the CCP Board.

**Discussion on SB678**

- SB678 is the incentive money; last year we received about \$300,000 which was used to fund Prop 36 supervision and to maintain positions when the Victim Witness grant was transferred to the DA
- It has been projected that the SB678 money will be reduced from \$300,000 to \$53,000.
- SB678 was passed prior to AB109, so according to CDCR there are no savings now to go back to counties.
- These projected numbers are not likely to hold and should change.
- Handouts of emails explain the difference between SB678 funds and AB109 funds, as well as the issue of surplanting.

**Review of AB109 Statistics**

*CDCR Projections*

- CDCR projects one PRCS case will be received by Trinity between Dec 2012 and Dec 2013; we have already received one
- No explanation as to why the CDCR projections are incorrect across counties

*AB109 Stats*

- Discussion of AB109 2012 Summary handout
- 3 people successfully completed their PRCS; transitional housing and weekly contacts by probation helped contribute to their successful completion, as well as staffing their individual cases; there seems to be a higher level of supervision for PRCS cases than parole
- Bills that have been proposed:
  - add a supervision tail to 1170(h) cases once they are released from jail
  - mandatory split sentences
- There is a problem with no consequences for offenders because they will not go to prison

### *Pretrial cases in jail*

- Pretrial cases in the jail have seen a huge increase in pretrial days from 2007 to 2012
- Hal and Ken looked at the pretrial assessment used by Santa Cruz, which is having lots of success with the program

### **Detailed Program Budget**

- Overview of Detailed Program Budget Worksheet
- We have received about \$100,000 already
- Data needs to be collected – Hal and Terry working with CPOC on mandatory data collection
- Electronic Monitoring will be changing over to GPS units, but also bringing in revenue as those on EM pay for it
- Received \$140,000 for the first year and not all of the money for mental health was used so it will roll over
- MRT training will cost about \$8,000 (already approved)
- There will be a cost for the Pretrial assessment tool
- This leaves \$90,000 on the table to discuss
- Any growth money from Prop 30 won't be received until next year
- Community Service Program
  - Need to hold meeting on the program as there are no rules or direction on it yet
  - Wendy has concerns that with a larger CSW program they will need to fund another position in General Services to supervise
- Already paid for jail needs study and transitional housing
- Funds 1.5 FTE
- No revisions need to be presented to the Board unless we change the initial plan
- HRN transitional housing working well, they haven't asked for additional money and they provide case management as well as housing

### **Review and Approval of Minutes**

Minutes from 9/27/2012 are approved.

### **Review of Statewide Allocations and Correctional Officer Funding**

- Bruce explains that 22 counties have used AB109 funding for correctional officers
  - No main consistency in funds split between Sherriff's Departments and Probation Departments, but there seems to be a major difference between the departments in Trinity County. Though probation may have been initially impacted by AB109 because of supervision, the Sherriff's Department is seeing a long-term impact.
  - Though the number of PRCS cases in the jail seems small, certain individuals take more man hours.

- Terry has concerns that if new beds or programs aren't added with the hiring of correctional officers, we may get penalized when audited. Also, if the money is not there in the next years to come, these positions couldn't be funded. Funding a deputy/probation officer duo would be safer in an audit than just correctional officers; not adverse to the hiring of correctional officers but what program will they run, etc
- Statewide allocations seem to have not been done properly; some counties are getting much more than they need
  - We don't have enough to fund the programs we want
- Would like a base amount of funding for small counties

### **Application for Applying for AB109 Funds**

- Reviewed proposed application for AB109 funds and made revisions to services list
- For transitional housing, encourage HRN to apply rather than give them money, however we are still in a contract with them right now
- Publish RFP in paper and on county website
- Hold a public hearing in library for the presentations of proposals
- Try to have it on Friday March 29 from 9:00am-12:00pm
- Should wait to make a decision after the presentations, not the same day
- Only if a new program is chosen would we need to go to the Board for approval
- Deadline for proposals will be about a week before the presentations on 3-29; we will have a short discussion before the presentations

### **Jail Damage**

- Short discussion on damage done to the jail by a PRCS case; about \$7800-\$10,000 in damage; will continue discussion in a future meeting

### **Jail Needs Assessment Update**

- Bruce explains that the jail needs program space for programs like MRT and GED and there is no physical space at the jail now; building a new jail would solve this problem but would still need staff. However, with the proper design you could use the same amount of staff
- Harry Munyon would do an update to the Jail Needs Assessment and the application and presentation for a new jail. Cost is \$7800
- Board approves funds for the Jail Needs Assessment Update in the amount of \$7800

Close at 12:10 p.m.